

Appendix To “Real Business Cycles in Emerging Countries?” *

Javier García-Cicco[†]
Central Bank of Chile

Roberto Pancrazi[‡]
Duke University

Martín Uribe[§]
Columbia University and NBER

September 17, 2009

1 Optimality Conditions of the Household’s Problem

Letting $\lambda_t X_{t-1}^{-\gamma}$ denote the Lagrange multiplier associated with the sequential budget constraint, the optimality conditions associated with this problem are (??), (??), the no-Ponzi-game constraint holding with equality, and

$$\begin{aligned} [C_t/X_{t-1} - \theta\omega^{-1}h_t^\omega]^{-\gamma} &= \lambda_t \\ [C_t/X_{t-1} - \theta\omega^{-1}h_t^\omega]^{-\gamma} \theta h_t^{\omega-1} &= (1 - \alpha)a_t \left(\frac{K_t}{X_{t-1}h_t}\right)^\alpha \left(\frac{X_t}{X_{t-1}}\right)^{1-\alpha} \lambda_t \\ \lambda_t &= \beta \frac{1+r_t}{g_t} E_t \lambda_{t+1} \end{aligned}$$

*We thank for comments Stephanie Schmitt-Grohé, Vivian Yue, Viktor Todorov, Andy Neumeier, Alejandro Gay, and seminar participants at the Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco, the International Monetary Fund, HEC Montreal, Universidad de San Andrés (Buenos Aires), and the XI Workshop in International Economics and Finance held at Universidad Torcuato Di Tella. The views and conclusions presented in this paper are exclusively those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the position of the Central Bank of Chile or of the Board members.

[†]E-mail: jgarcia-cicco@bcentral.cl.

[‡]E-mail: rp21@duke.edu.

[§]E-mail: martin.uribe@columbia.edu.

$$\begin{aligned} \left[1 + \phi \left(\frac{K_{t+1}}{K_t} - g \right)\right] \lambda_t &= \frac{\beta}{g_t^\gamma} E_t \lambda_{t+1} \left[1 - \delta + \alpha a_{t+1} \left(\frac{X_{t+1} h_{t+1}}{K_{t+1}} \right)^{1-\alpha} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \phi \left(\frac{K_{t+2}}{K_{t+1}} \right) \left(\frac{K_{t+2}}{K_{t+1}} - g \right) - \frac{\phi}{2} \left(\frac{K_{t+2}}{K_{t+1}} - g \right)^2 \right] \end{aligned}$$

2 Equilibrium Conditions in Stationary Form

Define $y_t = Y_t/X_{t-1}$, $c_t = C_t/X_{t-1}$, $d_t = D_t/X_{t-1}$, and $k_t = K_t/X_{t-1}$. Then, a stationary competitive equilibrium is give by a set of processes stationary solution to the following equations:

$$\begin{aligned} [c_t - \theta \omega^{-1} h_t^\omega]^{-\gamma} &= \lambda_t \\ \theta h_t^{\omega-1} &= (1 - \alpha) a_t g_t^{1-\alpha} \left(\frac{k_t}{h_t} \right)^\alpha \\ \lambda_t &= \frac{\beta}{g_t^\gamma} \left[1 + r^* + \psi \left(e^{d_t - \bar{d}} - 1 \right) \right] E_t \lambda_{t+1} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left[1 + \phi \left(\frac{k_{t+1}}{k_t} g_t - g \right)\right] \lambda_t &= \frac{\beta}{g_t^\gamma} E_t \lambda_{t+1} \left[1 - \delta + \alpha a_{t+1} \left(\frac{g_{t+1} h_{t+1}}{k_{t+1}} \right)^{1-\alpha} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \phi \frac{k_{t+2}}{k_{t+1}} g_{t+1} \left(\frac{k_{t+2}}{k_{t+1}} g_{t+1} - g \right) - \frac{\phi}{2} \left(\frac{k_{t+2}}{k_{t+1}} g_{t+1} - g \right)^2 \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{d_{t+1}}{1 + r_t} g_t = d_t - y_t + c_t + i_t + \frac{\phi}{2} \left(\frac{k_{t+1}}{k_t} g_t - g \right)^2 k_t,$$

$$r_t = r^* + \psi \left(e^{d_t - \bar{d}} - 1 \right),$$

$$k_{t+1} g_t = (1 - \delta) k_t + i_t$$

$$y_t = a_t k_t^\alpha (g_t h_t)^{1-\alpha}$$

3 GMM Estimation Procedure

Let $b \equiv [g \sigma_g \rho_g \sigma_a \rho_a \phi]'$ be the 6×1 vector of structural parameters to be estimated. We write the moment conditions as:¹

¹The estimation results are little changed if in writing the moment conditions we replace the empirical moments \bar{g}^Y , \bar{g}^C , and \bar{g}^I by their theoretical counterpart $E_{gy}(b)$, and the empirical moment \overline{tby} by its theoretical counterpart $E_{tby}(b)$. Specifically, the parameter estimates using annual Mexican data from 1900 to 2005 are

$$u_t(b) = \begin{bmatrix} E_{gy}(b) - g_t^Y \\ \sigma_{gy}^2(b) - (g_t^Y - \bar{g}^Y)^2 \\ \sigma_{gc}^2(b) - (g_t^C - \bar{g}^C)^2 \\ \sigma_{gi}^2(b) - (g_t^I - \bar{g}^I)^2 \\ \sigma_{tby}^2(b) - (tby_t - \overline{tby})^2 \\ \rho_{gy,gc} - \frac{(g_t^Y - \bar{g}^Y)(g_t^C - \bar{g}^C)}{\sigma_{gy}(b)\sigma_{gc}(b)} \\ \rho_{gy,gi} - \frac{(g_t^Y - \bar{g}^Y)(g_t^I - \bar{g}^I)}{\sigma_{gy}(b)\sigma_{gi}(b)} \\ \rho_{gy,tby} - \frac{(g_t^Y - \bar{g}^Y)(tby_t - \overline{tby})}{\sigma_{gy}(b)\sigma_{tby}(b)} \\ \rho_{gy1}(b) - \frac{\sigma_{gy}^2(b)}{(g_t^Y - \bar{g}^Y)(g_{t-1}^Y - \bar{g}^Y)} \\ \rho_{gy2}(b) - \frac{\sigma_{gy}^2(b)}{(g_t^Y - \bar{g}^Y)(g_{t-2}^Y - \bar{g}^Y)} \\ \rho_{gc1}(b) - \frac{\sigma_{gc}^2(b)}{(g_t^C - \bar{g}^C)(g_{t-1}^C - \bar{g}^C)} \\ \rho_{gc2}(b) - \frac{\sigma_{gc}^2(b)}{(g_t^C - \bar{g}^C)(g_{t-2}^C - \bar{g}^C)} \\ \rho_{gi1}(b) - \frac{\sigma_{gi}^2(b)}{(g_t^I - \bar{g}^I)(g_{t-1}^I - \bar{g}^I)} \\ \rho_{gi2}(b) - \frac{\sigma_{gi}^2(b)}{(g_t^I - \bar{g}^I)(g_{t-2}^I - \bar{g}^I)} \\ \rho_{tby1}(b) - \frac{\sigma_{tby}^2(b)}{(tby_t - \overline{tby})(tby_{t-1} - \overline{tby})} \\ \rho_{tby2}(b) - \frac{\sigma_{tby}^2(b)}{(tby_t - \overline{tby})(tby_{t-2} - \overline{tby})} \end{bmatrix},$$

where $Ex(b)$ denotes the expected value of the variable x_t implied by the theoretical model, $\sigma_x(b)$ denotes the standard deviation of x_t implied by the theoretical model, $\rho_{xy}(b)$ denotes the correlation between x_t and y_t implied by the theoretical model, and ρ_{xj} denotes the autocorrelation of order j of x_t implied by the theoretical model. All of these statistics are functions of the vector b of structural parameters. We denote by $\bar{x} \equiv T^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^T x_t$ the sample mean of x_t , where T is the sample size. We compute moments implied by the theoretical model by solving a linearized version of the system of equilibrium conditions with respect to the logarithm of all variables except the trade-balance share in GDP, which we keep in levels.

Define $J(b, W) = \bar{u}'W\bar{u}$, where $\bar{u}(b)$ denotes the sample mean of $u_t(b)$ and W is a sym-

Parameter	Point Estimate	Standard Deviation
g	1.0023	0.0011
σ_g	0.0195	0.0026
ρ_g	0.2507	0.0728
σ_a	0.0186	0.0009
ρ_a	-0.0367	0.0281
ϕ	0.0000	0.0018
Overidentifying		
Restrictions Test	p value	0.0698

We thank Masao Ogaki for suggesting to us to carry out this robustness exercise.

Table 1: Mexico 1980:Q1-2003:Q3: Estimated Structural Parameters

Parameter	Point Estimate	Standard Error
g	1.000	0.001
σ_g	0.008	0.001
ρ_g	0.715	0.038
σ_a	0.006	0.001
ρ_a	0.508	0.147
ϕ	1.150	0.128
Overidentifying Restrictions Test	p value	0.189

metric positive definite matrix compatible with $\bar{u}(b)$. The GMM estimate of b , denoted \hat{b} , is given by

$$\hat{b} = \operatorname{argmin}_b J(b, W).$$

The matrix W is estimated in two steps. For more details see Burnside (1999).²

4 GMM Estimation: Mexico 1980:Q1 2003:Q2

The estimation of the RBC model using quarterly Mexican data from 1980:1 to 2003:2 is shown in table Table 1. The fit of the model, as measured by the p value of the test of overidentifying restrictions is much better than the one obtained using the long sample 1900-2005. This is reflected in a better matching of the second moments of interest, as shown in table 2 and figure 1.

²Burnside, Craig, "Real Business Cycle Models: Linear Approximation and GMM Estimation," manuscript, The World Bank, May 1, 1999.

Table 2: Mexico 1980:Q1-2003:Q2

Statistic	g^Y	g^C	g^I	tby
Standard Deviation				
—Model	1.6	1.6	7.4	4.0
—Data	1.5	1.9	5.7	3.7
	(0.3)	(0.2)	(1.0)	(0.4)
Correlation with g^Y				
—Model		0.91	0.65	-0.41
—Data		0.76	0.75	-0.19
		(0.07)	(0.07)	(0.09)
Correlation with tby				
—Model		-0.45	-0.32	
—Data		-0.23	-0.14	
		0.07	0.11	
Serial Correlation				
—Model	0.07	0.08	-0.06	0.89
—Data	0.25	0.19	0.44	0.95
	(0.10)	(0.14)	(0.10)	(0.03)

Note: Standard deviations are reported in percentage points. Standard errors of sample-moment estimates are shown in parenthesis.

Figure 1: Mexico 1980:Q1-2003:Q2: The Autocorrelation Function of the Trade Balance-to-Output Ratio

